

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: MPW Stage 3 SSD 10431 - RFS Requirements - email 1 of 2
Date: Tuesday, 21 July 2020 4:08:00 AM
Attachments: [image005.png](#)

Hi [REDACTED],

We will be assessing against *Planning for Bush Fire Protection 2019*. As long as there is sufficient information in the cover letter and original report for us to be confident that Stage 3 complies, then your proposed approach should be sufficient.

Regards,



[REDACTED] | Development Assessment and Planning Officer
NSW RURAL FIRE SERVICE

Planning and Environment Services (East) 4 Murray Rose Avenue, Sydney Olympic Park NSW 2127 | Locked Bag 17 Granville NSW 2142

P 1300 NSW RFS **F** 02 8867 7983 **E** [REDACTED]

www.rfs.nsw.gov.au | www.facebook.com/nswrfs | www.twitter.com/nswrfs

PREPARE. ACT. SURVIVE.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, 17 July 2020 4:47 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: MPW Stage 3 SSD 10431 - RFS Requirements - email 1 of 2

RE: Your reference DA202004009001277-EIS & DA (dated 27 May 2020)
Moorebank Precinct West Stage 3 SSD 10431

Good afternoon [REDACTED]

Thank you for speaking with me this afternoon. As you and I discussed, we are seeking clarity regarding NSW RFS requirements for the MPW Stage 3 project. The NSW Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE) is managing the Response to Submissions (RtS) process for the SSD application, and NSW RFS provided comment to DPIE in relation to the MPW Stage 3 EIS that:

A bush fire assessment report must be prepared which identifies the extent to which the proposed development conforms with or deviates from the relevant provisions of Planning for Bush Fire Protection 2019.

MPW Stage 2

For your information and background, a Bushfire Protection Assessment report (attached) was prepared by Australian Bushfire Protection Planners (ABPP) in October 2016 as part of the EIS for MPW Stage 2 and assessed the MPW Stage 2 development against the provisions of *Planning for Bush Fire Protection 2006*. The MPW Stage 2 development included the operation of the intermodal facility, rail link connection, rail link and warehousing. The report also considered

temporary construction compounds, a batching plant and communal parking areas required to support construction works for the development. The assessment for MPW Stage 2 included the entire MPW site, and provided recommendations against the performance criteria for the development. ABPP found that the MPW Stage 2 development proposal complied with the aim and objectives of *Planning for Bush Fire Protection 2006* requirements.

MPW Stage 3

The proposed MPW Stage 3 development comprises the following elements, as a progression from both MPW Concept Plan and Early Works Stage 1 SSD 5066 and MPW Stage 2 SSD 7709 consents:

- establishment of a temporary construction works compound that will be used to facilitate MPW Early Works Stage 1, Stages 2 and 3 site development works and future stages of the MLP development;
- progressive subdivision of the MPW Site into nine allotments for the purpose of creating separate lots for the interstate freight terminal, future warehousing, rail link corridor, and biodiversity conservation allotment (being Lots 5 to 13 inclusive);
- ancillary works including access roads, earthworks, utilities, stormwater and drainage, signage and landscaping; and
- importation and placement of clean fill material to achieve the finished surface level.

Given that the proposed MPW Stage 3 development works lie wholly within the already assessed MPW Stage 2 development area, ABPP reviewed documentation already provided for MPW Stage 2. The report for MPW Stage 3 (attached) determined that no works proposed are located outside the scope of the Concept Plan approval and the bushfire impacts associated with MPW Stage 3 have been previously considered and assessed as part of the SSD 5066 MPW Concept and Stage 1 approval and SSD 7709 MPW Stage 2 approval. The report concluded that the proposed MPW Stage 3 development did not impact on the aim and objectives of *Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006* which had already been addressed in the design of the Concept Plan development.

Bushfire Risk Management Plan – MPW Stage 2

Further, the approved Bushfire Risk Management Plan for MPW Stage 2 is attached via a second email, which, in addition to *Planning for Bush Fire Protection 2006*, considered the provisions of the (at that time) draft *Planning for Bush Fire Protection 2018* provisions. The Bushfire Risk Management Plan, which was prepared to address bush fire planning requirements and manage bush fire risks during the construction phase of MPW Stage 2, meets relevant MPW Stage 2 SSD 7709 Conditions of Consent B189 to B193 and has been approved by DPIE for the Project.

Request for Advice – MPW Stage 3

Given the Bushfire Risk Management Plan is already in place for the MPW site, the proposed MPW Stage 3 development works are consistent with assessments already completed for MPW Concept Plan and MPW Stage 2 which addressed *Planning For Bush Fire Provisions 2006* provisions, and the MPW Stage 3 works components and development areas lie within development components and areas already previously assessed, can you please confirm whether NSW RFS would accept a covering letter and/or brief addendum to the report already prepared for MPW Stage 3 by ABPP, notwithstanding any further comments/recommendations by ABPP with regards to the 2019 provisions. Could you please confirm whether this covering letter and/or brief addendum to the MPW Stage 3 report would meet NSW RFS requirements in

this case, and no further bushfire assessment would be required for MPW Stage 3.

Thank you for your consideration, [REDACTED]. Please don't hesitate to contact me if you require any further information.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]



Suite 117,
25 Solent Circuit
Baulkham Hills
NSW 2153

www.aspectenvironmental.com.au

